



Retirement Board Order

Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System

Before the Board of Retirement
April 20, 2022

AGENDA ITEM:

**State Association of County Retirement Systems Legislative Update—
April 2022**

THE BOARD OF RETIREMENT hereby accepts the recommendation of staff to receive and file the State Association of County Retirement Systems Legislative Update.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above order was passed and adopted on April 20, 2022 by the following vote of the Board of Retirement, to wit:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ALTERNATES (Present but not voting):

Richard B. Fowler II
Board President

Eric Stern
Chief Executive Officer and
Board Secretary



April 1, 2022

TO: State Association of County Retirement Systems
FROM: Edelstein Gilbert Robson & Smith, LLC
RE: **Legislative Update – April 2022**

General Update

As the Legislature goes into April, policy committee hearings are in full swing. Policy Committees will continue for fiscal bills (bills that have a cost to the state) until April 29. Bills keyed non-fiscal have until May 6 to be heard in policy committee. Following the policy committee deadline, fiscal legislation faces its next hurdle, the fiscal committee deadline on May 20.

Now that case rates are declining, activity in the Legislature has been shifting increasingly towards in-person rather than virtual meetings. Virtual meetings are still occurring, but more legislative staff are working from the “Swing Space” rather than from home, increasing opportunities for in-person lobbying.

SACRS Sponsored Bills

As discussed in previous reports, the various policy proposals to amend the CERL that were approved by the SACRS membership were amended into the two bills below.

AB 1824 (Committee on Public Employment and Retirement) – Committee Cleanup Bill. The bill passed out of the Assembly Public Employment and Retirement Committee unanimously and will be heard in the Assembly Appropriations Committee next.

AB 1971 (Cooper) – CERL Policy Bill. The Legislative Committee Co-Chairs and SACRS lobbying team held a series of discussions with stakeholders on the bill. Based on those discussions and concerns raised by SEIU, the California Professional Firefighters, and the Police Officers Research Association of CA (PORAC), AB 1971 will be amended with various technical clarifications and to strike sections six and eight of the bill. Discussions will continue regarding a couple outstanding items. The bill has not yet been set for hearing in its policy committee.

We will continue to keep SACRS updated as these two bills move through the legislative process.

Other Bills of Interest

AB 2493 (Chen) – Orange County Employees Retirement System: Disallowed Compensation. This bill was recently amended with substantive language that allows OCERS to adjust retirement payments based on disallowed compensation for peace officers and firefighters under certain circumstances.

The bill has not yet been set for hearing.

Compensation Earnable Bills – Last session, two bills were introduced relating to compensation earnable - **AB 498 (Quirk-Silva) and AB 826 (Irwin)**. As reported in previous updates, AB 826 was gutted and amended in June of 2021 with the CERL provisions currently contained in the bill. The bill was placed on the Senate Inactive File in September, where it remains. AB 498 (Quirk Silva) was similarly amended at the end of session last year in September. We have reached out to these offices to inquire about whether these bills will be further amended or brought up for votes later this year. Neither office had any updates at this time. We will periodically check back for further updates.

SB 1328 (McGuire) – Divestment. This bill would prohibit all public retirement boards subject to PEPRA from investing public employee retirement funds in a company with business operations in Russia or Belarus, among other requirements.

The bill passed out of the Senate Labor, Public Employment and Retirement Committee and Senate Governmental Organization Committee unanimously. It will go to the Senate Appropriations Committee next.

SACRS has not taken a formal position on the bill but has submitted a “letter of concern” outlining the administrative concerns raised by member systems.

Public Meeting Bills. During the pandemic, public agencies have relied upon the Brown Act flexibilities created via Executive Order and previous legislation to continue to conduct business while keeping the public and members safe. As the pandemic evolves, public agencies continue to recognize the benefits of teleconferencing, and multiple bills have been introduced on the topic this year to continue teleconference flexibilities:

AB 1944 (Lee) – Public Meetings. This bill would eliminate the requirement to post each board member address on public agendas for remote meetings. For public meetings that elect to use teleconferencing, the legislative body would be required to provide a video stream accessible to members of the public and an option for members of the public to address the legislative body remotely during public comment through a video or call-in option.

SACRS is supporting this bill. The bill has not yet been set for hearing in policy committee.

AB 2449 (Rubio) – Public Meetings. This bill would allow a local agency to use teleconferencing for a public meeting if at least a quorum of members of the legislative

body participate in person from a single location that is identified on the agenda and is open to the public within the local agency's jurisdiction, among other requirements.

The bill has not yet been sent for hearing.